

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2018 3:22 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Fw: Objection to the Introduction of no waiting restrictions Zones in the queens Road Area

Good Afternoon,

This has been sent to you two as the e-mail address provided on the notice keeps bouncing back.

I would like to object to the Council's decision to try and introduce a no waiting restriction zone in the Queens Road Area . Although this is at the consultation stage, myself and others are concerned that the no waiting restriction zones in the Queens Road area will be detrimental to its residents and allow the council at a later stage to introduce CPZ to the area.

At present we are getting a petition together to send to the council regarding this matter.

At the moment we have a minor problem with parking, but most of the residents and visitors eventually find somewhere to park, whether it be on a long term or short stay basis. If the introduction of a limited parking time/zone is introduced it would eventually lead to residents of the area facing additional expenditure by way of fines or permits payments for careers,visitors tradesmen etc The residents have already expressed that the CPZ was not wanted and this is the council's stealth way of introducing them.

I have lived in the area for 24 years the only time myself and other residents have experience major parking problems is when the council steps in to try and fix a problem which isn't there. The Brimmington Estate where I reside has 81 flats and houses, with over 2000 residents. The part of the estate which I reside in facilitates a maximum of 28 cars, which when full, myself and others have no choose but to park on the street. If a waiting restriction zone was introduced what would this mean for myself and others? The estate itself caters for around or just under 400 vehicles, the overspill uses the one of the various roads mentioned in the notice, so under the introduction of a no waiting zone EVERY household including mine WOULD BE AFFECTED.

There has been a number of developments in recent years which does not help the parking situation, Queens Road, Asbury Road, Asylum Road, Meeting House Lane, Kings Grove,Monpellier Road, Culmore Road, the old Woodene Estate etc, with a number of homes once occupied by one families becoming HMO, is the council foreseeing the revenue it can generate with the regeneration of the Old Kent Road and introduction of a new tube station or is it acting in the best interest of its residents? What the Council should be doing is forcing developers to provide adequate parking spaces with every development that goes up.

(IE) underground parking spaces which would tackle a lot of the parking issues. why has the council not thought about building a multi storey car park in order to facilitate the so called parking problem.

Cc: harriet.harman.mp@parliament.uk<<mailto:harriet.harman.mp@parliament.uk>>.

Kind Regards

██████████

Redshaw, Joanna

From: Administrator, Information
Sent: 24 July 2018 15:07
To: traffic orders
Subject: Consultation response

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[Title]
[REDACTED]

[Firstname]
[REDACTED]

[Lastname]
[REDACTED]

[Telephone_number]
[REDACTED]

[Email_address]
[REDACTED]

[Areyou]
A resident

[Whichconsultation]
Nunhead & Queen's Road ward waiting restrictions The London Borough of Southwark (Waiting and loading restrictions) (Amendment No. *) Order 201* 1. Southwark

[overallresponse]
5. I wholly object to

[response]
I object to the councils proposal to install double yellow lines under the guise of "safety". While it is true there are some parking pressures in the area I feel the council is punishing residents rather than actually solving the problem. The parking pressures are caused by people who live outside our borough who park their cars in the area to use the public transport. This is especially noticeable in the streets around Queens Rd Peckham Station. By installing double lines you will effectively reduce the amount of available parking in the area which is only going to make things worse for residents who wish to park their cars.

The council is saying they are doing this for visibility and safety for pedestrians. However, I would like the council to provide some evidence that there have been pedestrian accidents in the area. You say you have received correspondence from residents. I suggest you make this public. I've lived in Asylum Rd since 2007 and have never seen any pedestrian accidents. All the residents I speak to are furious with the councils actions in this matter.

We recently had a parking consultation and I suggested to the council an effective way of solving the parking problems. Issue one free parking permit to each household, additional permits can be purchased at at cost. Then make anyone who does not have a permit (people who live outside the area) to pay for parking. I assure you this would significantly ease the parking problems. I've seen this scheme successfully implemented throughout Europe and indeed Australia and it works.

On my street (Asylum Rd) you are building a massive new council building, which I also object to. The building is far too large with inadequate provision for parking. Where are those council workers going to park? It's ridiculous to think they will all catch public transport which is currently heaving at Queens Rd Peckham Station. Council workers as I understand can also park on double yellow lines. Which makes the proposal in hand even more under handed. I simply believe this is part of the the councils long term plan of eventually introducing permit parking.

We all pay council tax and as such the council should be working on behalf of the needs of residents. With this proposal I feel like the council is acting wholly in their own interests without properly considering the consequences for people who actually live in the area.

Project Manager
Highways
Environment and Social Regeneration
Southwark Council
160 Tooley Street | London | SE1 2QH
Tel: 020 7525 2665 | Email: Joanna.Redshaw@southwark.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 10:36 AM
To: Redshaw, Joanna
Subject: Re: Queen's Road Double yellow lines

Thanks for this Joanna.

Could I ask how information and responses are handled - i.e. Are they publicly displayed and identifiable.

Is there more that could be done to create awareness, at the North end, that Kings Grove is a no through road. So many people drive down unawares and then need to do a cramped 3 point turn, hindered even more when the double yellows are used as parking spaces.

Thanks.



On Thursday, July 19, 2018, Redshaw, Joanna <Joanna.Redshaw@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:

Good morning,

Thank you for your phone call.

Please click here to view the drawings for the proposals in the Queen's Road area.

<http://www.southwark.gov.uk/transport-and-roads/roadworks-and-highway-improvements/traffic-management-orders?chapter=5>

As I said on the phone, it would be best to send your feedback in writing to our traffic orders email address (traffic.orders@southwark.gov.uk) to be included in the final report.

Regarding your comments on enforcement of existing restrictions on King's Grove, I have checked with our Enforcement team who have logged at least one visit every other day to King's Grove in the last month. We also work overnight on an ad hoc basis and have an enforcement team that works until 10.30pm every day.

If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Kind regards

Joanna Redshaw

Project Manager

Highways

Environment and Social Regeneration

Southwark Council

[160 Tooley Street|London|SE1 2QH](#)

Tel: 020 7525 2665|Email: Joanna.Redshaw@southwark.gov.uk

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent. The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this in error please notify us immediately.

If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful.

Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

Redshaw, Joanna

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 August 2018 15:14
To: traffic orders
Subject: Parking Restrictions in the Area of St. Mary Magdalene Church Peckham SE15.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Sirs, I write to express my total disapproval to an R.
P. Z. As a Resident of the area and attend the above Church i consider it to be
of grate inconvenience. There are other ways
you can raise Funds apart from causing such a hardship. Yours Sincerely , [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

7-8-18

YOUR REF 'TMO 1819-010

CARLTON GV

QUEENS ROAD

PECKHAM LONDON SE15 2UB

PG 1 OF

2

DEAR JOANNA REDSHAW WE STOPPED THE CPZ FROM BEING PUT IN TO OUR STREETS IN 2016 UNDER THE 1996 ACT IT SEEMS TO US THAT YOU THE COUNCIL IS TRYING TO MAKE PARKING IMPOSSIBLE IN CARLTON GV MEETING HOWISE LANE YOU ALREADY HAVE ILLEGAL LINES IN CARLTON GV FOR 6 MONTHS OUTSIDE THE SITE AT THE QUEENS RD END ON BOTH SIDES WITHOUT TICKING RESIDENTS AT NIGHT BUT LEAVING ALL TRAFFIC TO PARK ALL DAY FOR THE SITE I HAVE PHOTOS TO PROVE IT

WE WISH TO (OBJECT) ON THE GROUNDS

- 1 THE AMOUNT OF WEATHER IS RIDICULOUS THIS WILL TIP THE AREA IT TO NOT BEING ABLE TO PARK. OVERNIGHT IT WILL OF COURSE HELP FOR A CPZ IN THE FUTURE.
- 2 YOU HAVE NOT DONE AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY ON THE EXTRA AIR POLLUTION THAT WILL HAPPEN WHEN VEHICLES CAN'T STOP BECAUSE OF YOUR PROPOSED DOUBLE YELLOW LINES
- 3 COSTS OF PUTTING IN THE SCHEME
- 4 THE ONGOING COSTS FOR THE FUTURE MAINTAINING OF THE SCHEME
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF LINES LAYING VEHICLE ON AIR POLLUTION I.E. LPG - DIESEL

YOUR REF 'TMO1819-010

QUEEN'S RD

PAGE 2
OF 2

5 YEARLY FIGURES FOR PUBLIC SCRUTINY INCLUDING
THE FIGURES FOR BOROUGH WIDE POLLUTION
CAUSED BY NOT BEING ABLE TO STOP IN C P Z S
OR DOUBLE YELLOW LINES. ABOVE 1-5 IS REQUESTED
UNDER "THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000

YOU HAVE 14 DAYS TO RESPOND

WENTY THANKS



PS CAN YOU TELL US WHEN THIS
DECISION IS BEING MADE AT
WHAT COMMUNITY COUNCIL WHEN WE CAN
SO WE CAN PUT A DEPUTATION AND PETITION
PLEASE THIS



TO JOANNA
RED SHAW

PO BOX 64529

HIGHWAYS ENVIRONMENT 3RD FLOOR HUB 2

REF [REDACTED] TMO 1819-010 QUEEN'S ROAD

RECEIVED ON
08 AUG 2018
TOOLEY POST ROOM

15-15

Redshaw, Joanna

From: Administrator, Information
Sent: 18 August 2018 11:04
To: traffic orders
Subject: Consultation response

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[Title]
■

[Firstname]
■

[Lastname]
■

[Telephone_number]
■

[Email_address]
■

[Areyou]
A resident

[Whichconsultation]
Nunhead and Queens road ward waiting restrictions. Order 201.

[overallresponse]

[response]

There is no need to have waiting restrictions in this area. Parking is free and should remain so as there is many properties that financially rely on free parking. The church also has many users who are extremely old, frail and to restrict parking would be mean that could no longer attend the church.

Redshaw, Joanna

From: Redshaw, Joanna
Sent: 26 July 2018 15:01
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: traffic orders
Subject: RE: Gap Joanna redshaw

Good afternoon [REDACTED],

Thank you for highlighting the error on our street notice. We will be republishing the notice next week with King's Grove instead of King's Close.

Please click [here](#) to view the proposals for the whole area including King's Grove (The drawings can be found on the last three pages). The only change we are proposing on King's Grove is double yellow lines around its junction with Meeting House Lane.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Kind regards

Joanna Redshaw

Project Manager
Highways
Environment and Social Regeneration
Southwark Council
160 Tooley Street | London | SE1 2QH
Tel: 020 7525 2665 | Email: Joanna.Redshaw@southwark.gov.uk

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 11:04 AM
To: Highways
Subject: Gap Joanna redshaw

Dear Joanna,

I live on Kings Grove, which incorrectly you also refer to as Kings Close, SE15.

I have seen a very long notice on the street which sounds very complicated, no doubt, to confuse the residents about your parking proposal for the area. The majority of the residents are a bit confused as to where you are adding the double yellow lines. As a resident for over 15 years I still don't see the point for the restrictions on these residential streets. We have had several proposals by the council in the past which didn't make sense to local residents and therefore objected. Once again I write in to formally Oppose these plans as I see no point in this as it would reduce further parking spaces for the local residents.

Once again the council who for so long have ignored our ward now seem to be keen to change what is a peaceful neighbourhood with ridiculous proposals which clearly don't put the residents first.

Many thanks

[REDACTED]
KINGS GROVE

Sent from my iPhone

Redshaw, Joanna

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 August 2018 14:51
To: traffic orders
Cc: Highways; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TRAFFIC ORDER - TM01819-010
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear friends,

I'm writing as a resident of St Mary's Road and also as [REDACTED] Peckham to express strong objection to certain aspects of the Traffic Order - TM01819 - 010 as proposed.

Your efforts to do something about the traffic situation along St Mary's Road is commendable and much appreciated. I have personally expressed concern about this problem at Community Council meetings. However, your proposal to impose Double Yellow line on the southwestern side of Belfort Road, that is, the side of Belfort Road along the main entrance of St Mary's Church and Community Centre is really unnecessary, unless there is a hidden agenda to frustrate the use of this place of worship and community facility.

In February 2017, I expressed concern to the proposal to restrict parking around St Mary's Road. A copy of my contribution to the Consultation in this respect will be forwarded to you. I was assured that my concern would be considered in future deliberations on the matter. It's very sad that Traffic Order TM01819 - 010 has failed to consider the impact any parking restrictions would have on this important community facility. Surely a business centre will not be treated in this manner.

While I am in support of efforts to improve traffic movement on St Mary's Road, there's little traffic on the southwestern side of Belfort Road. It is used for parking by users of the church and other residents. Any restriction to parking on this road will serve no useful purpose toward improving traffic on St Mary's Road.

My proposal as in my previous submission to the Consultation in January/February 2017 on this subject is that St Mary's Church and Community Center should be graciously given the concession to have some reserved parking space for its use on this road. This part of Belfort Road was closed between April 2010 and June 2011 during the construction of the Church and Community center. No one objected to the closure of this road then. I doubt if any one would object now if most of the parking space along the side of the Church on the southwestern side of Belfort Road is designated and reserved for exclusive use of the Church.

I'm humbly appealing against any restrictions to parking on the southwestern side of Belfort Road. I am also humbly pleading that our Council that had graciously supported the construction of this community facility should not proceed with an initiative that would invariably frustrate its effective use. If a business centre on Godman Road, off Consort Road, was given special concession to have reserved parking for its customers, why can't we be given a similar concession?

I pray you'll kindly consider this objection as well as the proposal.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] St Mary Magdalene,
Peckham

Redshaw, Joanna

From: Administrator, Information
Sent: 23 August 2018 23:49
To: traffic orders
Subject: Consultation response

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[Title]
[REDACTED]

[Firstname]
[REDACTED]

[Lastname]
[REDACTED]

[Telephone_number]
[REDACTED]

[Email_address]
[REDACTED]

[Areyou]
A resident

[Whichconsultation]
TMO1818-019 qUEENS ROAD

[overallresponse]
4. I object to part

[response]

I object to the parking restrictions being proposed because they are a carpet proposal. Southwark Council sought our views for parking restriction just over one year ago and those who responded said NO! to them. By shutting off a lot of metres of road space with permanent restrictions, there will be even fewer places to park. See what happened with Gordon Road. The road is clear but then what?

Secondly, I attend St Mary Magdalene Church Peckham. Many members of the fellowship move out of the borrow to access affordable accommodation, including many from Woodene Estate. They necessarily have to drive in from Erith, Abbeywood, Woolwich, Thamesmead, etc. We need parking spaces for activities that happen in the weekday and Saturdays when our facilities are used by elderly people, a mental health charity, funerals, the local church school, etc. There is no need for this blanket restrictions.

Finally, the way the restrictions was advertised made it difficult for normal people to actually understand what is being proposed. There should have been individual notices in the streets proposed as you had to post a notice on the streets anyway. At the least this will explain what the consultation is about clearly.